

HIGHER ORDER JORDAN OSSERMAN PSEUDO-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

PETER B. GILKEY, RAINA IVANOVA, AND TAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We study the higher order Jacobi operator in pseudo-Riemannian geometry. We exhibit a family of manifolds so that this operator has constant Jordan normal form on the Grassmannian of subspaces of signature (r, s) for certain values of (r, s) . These pseudo-Riemannian manifolds are new and non-trivial examples of higher order Osserman manifolds.

Subject Classification: 53B20.

PACS numbers: 0240, 0240K

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) and dimension $m = p + q$. Let $R(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the associated Riemann curvature operator. The *Jacobi operator* $J(X) : Y \rightarrow R(Y, X)X$ is a self-adjoint operator which plays an important role in the study of geodesic variations and in many other applications.

We say that (M, g) is *Riemannian* if $p = 0$ and *Lorentzian* if $p = 1$. Osserman [15] observed that if (M, g) is a local 2 point homogeneous Riemannian manifold, then the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator are constant on the unit sphere bundle of M . He wondered if the converse held, i.e. if the eigenvalues of the Jacobi operator are constant on the unit sphere bundle, does this imply that (M, g) is a local 2 point homogeneous space (or equivalently, that (M, g) is either flat or is a rank 1 symmetric space). This has been shown to be true if $m \neq 16$ by Chi [4] and Nikolayevsky [14]. The case $m = 16$ is still open.

It is natural to pose this question in the pseudo-Riemannian setting as well. Let $S^\pm(M, g)$ be the pseudo-sphere bundles of unit spacelike (+) and timelike (−) vectors for a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (M, g) of signature (p, q) . Then (M, g) is said to be *spacelike Osserman* (resp. *timelike Osserman*) if the eigenvalues of $J(\cdot)$ are constant on $S^+(M, g)$ (resp. on $S^-(M, g)$). The notions spacelike Osserman and timelike Osserman are equivalent and if (M, g) is either of them, then (M, g) is said to be *Osserman*. It is known that any Lorentzian Osserman manifold has constant sectional curvature [1, 5]. On the other hand, if $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$, then there exist Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of signature (p, q) which are not locally homogeneous [2, 6].

In the higher signature setting, unlike in the Riemannian setting, the eigenvalue structure does not determine the Jordan normal form of a symmetric linear operator. We say that (M, g) is *spacelike Jordan Osserman* (resp. *timelike Jordan Osserman*) if the Jordan normal form (i.e. the conjugacy class) of $J(\cdot)$ is constant on $S^+(M, g)$ (resp. on $S^-(M, g)$). The notions spacelike Jordan Osserman and timelike Jordan Osserman are distinct. While spacelike Jordan Osserman or timelike Jordan Osserman implies Osserman, the reverse implication fails in general. There is an extensive literature on this question; see [7, 8, 9, 13] for further details.

This paper focuses on the higher order Jacobi operator, which was first defined by Stanilov and Videv [16] in the Riemannian setting. We consider it in the pseudo-Riemannian setting. Let $\text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g)$ be the Grassmannian bundle of non-degenerate subspaces of signature (r, s) . We say that a pair (r, s) is *admissible* if $\text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g)$ is non-empty and does not consist of a single point, i.e.

$$0 \leq r \leq p, \quad 0 \leq s \leq q, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq r + s \leq m - 1.$$

Let (r, s) be admissible and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{r+s}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a subspace $\pi \in \text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g)$. The *higher order Jacobi operator* is defined [12] in the pseudo-Riemannian setting by:

$$J(\pi) := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r+s} (e_i, e_i) J(e_i).$$

The operator $J(\pi)$ is independent of the particular orthonormal basis chosen. One says that (M, g) is *Osserman of type (r, s)* if the eigenvalues of $J(\cdot)$ are constant on $\text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g)$. Note that (M, g) is Osserman of type $(0, 1)$ or $(1, 0)$ if and only if (M, g) is Osserman. Similarly, one says that (M, g) is *Jordan Osserman of type (r, s)* if the Jordan normal form of $J(\cdot)$ is constant on $\text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g)$; (M, g) is Jordan Osserman of type $(0, 1)$ (resp. $(1, 0)$) if and only if (M, g) is spacelike (resp. timelike) Jordan Osserman.

We shall use the following basic duality result [10, 12]:

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M, g) be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature (p, q) . Let (r, s) be admissible.*

1. *If (M, g) is Osserman of type (r, s) , then (M, g) is Osserman of type $(\bar{r}, \bar{s})$ for every admissible $(\bar{r}, \bar{s})$ with $\bar{r} + \bar{s} = r + s$ or $\bar{r} + \bar{s} = m - r - s$.*
2. *If (M, g) is Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) , then (M, g) is Jordan Osserman of type $(p - r, q - s)$.*

In view of Theorem 1.1, we say that (M, g) is *k Osserman* if (M, g) is Osserman of type (r, s) for any (and hence for all) admissible (r, s) with $r + s = k$ or $r + s = m - k$. It is known that in either the Riemannian or the Lorentzian settings, if (M, g) is *k Osserman* for some k with $2 \leq k \leq m - 2$, then (M, g) has constant sectional curvature [8, 13]. Thus, we shall consider the higher signature setting and assume $p \geq 2$ and $q \geq 2$ henceforth.

Let $M = \mathbb{R}^{2p}$ with the usual coordinates $(x, y) := (x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_p)$. Let

$$\mathcal{X} := \text{span}_{1 \leq i \leq p} \{\partial_i^x\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Y} := \text{span}_{1 \leq i \leq p} \{\partial_i^y\}$$

define two distributions of TM . The splitting $TM = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$ is, of course, just the usual splitting $T(\mathbb{R}^{2p}) = T(\mathbb{R}^p) \oplus T(\mathbb{R}^p)$. This defines a projection

$$(1.1) \quad \rho_{\mathcal{X}} : T(\mathbb{R}^{2p}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}.$$

Definition 1.2. *Let $S^2(T\mathbb{R}^p)$ be the bundle of symmetric bilinear forms on $T\mathbb{R}^p$. Let $\psi \in C^\infty(S^2(T\mathbb{R}^p))$ be a symmetric 2 tensor with components $\psi_{ij} := \psi(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)$. Define a pseudo-Riemannian metric of balanced (or neutral) signature (p, p) on $\mathbb{R}^{2p}$ by setting:*

$$g_\psi(x, y)(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^y) = \delta_{ij}, \quad g_\psi(x, y)(\partial_i^y, \partial_j^y) = 0, \quad g_\psi(x, y)(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) := \psi_{ij}(x).$$

The coefficients of g_ψ depend on x but not on y . Furthermore, the distribution $\mathcal{Y}$ is totally isotropic with respect to g_ψ . In Section 2, we will show that:

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\psi_{ij/kl} := \partial_k^x \partial_l^x \psi_{ij}$. Let Z_ν be vector fields on (M, g_ψ) . Then:*

1. $R(Z_1, Z_2)Z_3 = 0$ if $Z_1 \in \mathcal{Y}$, if $Z_2 \in \mathcal{Y}$, or if $Z_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$.
2. $R(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)\partial_k^x = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_l (\psi_{il/jk} + \psi_{jk/il} - \psi_{ik/jl} - \psi_{jl/ik})\partial_l^x$.

If A is a self-adjoint linear operator, then we may use the inner product to define an associated symmetric bilinear form $\mathcal{A}$ by setting

$$\mathcal{A}(Z_1, Z_2) := (AZ_1, Z_2).$$

Conversely, every symmetric bilinear form arises in this way. A self-adjoint operator A is said to be *positive semi-definite* if and only if the associated quadratic form $\mathcal{A}$ is positive semi-definite. The Jacobi operator and the associated bilinear form which are defined by (M, g_ψ) are supported on $\mathcal{X}$. If we set $X_\nu := \rho_{\mathcal{X}} Z_\nu$, then:

$$J(Z_1)Z_2 = J(X_1)X_2, \quad \text{and} \quad (J(Z_1)Z_2, Z_3) = (J(X_1)X_2, X_3).$$

Since $J(X_1)X_1 = 0$, $\text{rank}(J(Z_1)) \leq p - 1$.

Definition 1.4.

1. If $\psi \in C^\infty(S^2(T\mathbb{R}^p))$ and if K is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^p$, define the semi-norm $|\psi|_K = \max_{x \in K; 1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq p} |\psi_{ij/kl}|(x)$.
2. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p)$. Define a symmetric 2 tensor field ψ_f on $T\mathbb{R}^p$ by setting $\psi_{f,ij} = \partial_i^x f \cdot \partial_j^x f$. Let $H(f) := \partial_i^x \partial_j^x f$ be the Hessian.
3. Let Ψ be the set of all $\psi \in C^\infty(S^2(T\mathbb{R}^p))$ so that $J(X)$ is positive semi-definite of rank $p - 1$ for every $0 \neq X \in T\mathbb{R}^p$.

The metrics g_ψ for $\psi \in \Psi$ will be important in our discussion. We show that this class of metrics is non-trivial by establishing the following result in Section 2:

Lemma 1.5. *Let $p \geq 2$.*

1. If $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and if $H(f)$ is positive definite, then $\psi_f \in \Psi$.
2. Ψ is conelike, i.e. if $0 < a \in \mathbb{R}$ and if $\psi \in \Psi$, then $a\psi \in \Psi$.
3. Ψ is convex, i.e. if $t \in [0, 1]$ and if $\psi_i \in \Psi$, then $t\psi_1 + (1 - t)\psi_2 \in \Psi$.
4. Let K be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^p$, let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(K)$, and let $\psi_0 \in \Psi$. There exists $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\phi, \psi_0) > 0$ so that if $\psi_1 \in C^\infty(S^2(T\mathbb{R}^p))$ and $|\psi_1|_K < \varepsilon$, then $\psi_0 + \phi\psi_1 \in \Psi$.

If (M, g) has constant sectional curvature, then (M, g) is Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) for any admissible (r, s) . There are a number of spacelike Jordan Osserman and timelike Jordan Osserman manifolds which are known [2, 7, 11]. Higher order Osserman tensors have been constructed [10, 12, 17]. Bonome, Castro, and Garcia-Rio have exhibited higher order Osserman manifolds [3] in signature $(2, 2)$. However, no examples of higher order Jordan Osserman manifolds were known previously which did not have constant sectional curvature. In Section 3, we will demonstrate examples of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds which are Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) for certain, but not for all values of (r, s) :

Theorem 1.6. *Let $p \geq 2$.*

1. (M, g_ψ) is k Osserman for every admissible k .
2. If $\psi \in \Psi$, then (M, g_ψ) is:
 - (a) Jordan Osserman of types $(0, r)$, $(p, p - r)$, $(r, 0)$, $(p - r, p)$ if $0 < r \leq p$;
 - (b) not Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) if $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p$.

Theorem 1.6 deals with the balanced signature $p = q$. Let $\mathbb{R}^{(u,v)}$ denote Euclidean space with the usual inner product of signature (u, v) . In Section 4, we construct examples of pseudo-Riemannian manifolds of more general signatures by taking the isometric product of (M, g_ψ) with $\mathbb{R}^{(u,v)}$:

Theorem 1.7. *Let $p \geq 2$ and let $(N, g_N) := (M, g_\psi) \times \mathbb{R}^{(u,v)}$ be the isometric product pseudo-Riemannian manifold of signature $(\bar{p}, \bar{q}) := (p + u, p + v)$. Then:*

1. (N, g_N) is k Osserman for every admissible k .
2. If $\psi \in \Psi$, then:
 - (a) (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman
 - (i) of types $(r, 0)$ and $(\bar{p} - r, \bar{q})$ if $u = 0$ and if $0 < r \leq p$;
 - (ii) of types $(0, s)$ and $(\bar{p}, \bar{q} - s)$ if $v = 0$ and if $0 < s \leq p$;
 - (iii) of types $(r, 0)$ and $(\bar{p} - r, \bar{q})$ if $u > 0$ and if $u + 2 \leq r \leq \bar{p}$;
 - (iv) of types $(0, s)$ and $(\bar{p}, \bar{q} - s)$ if $v > 0$ and if $v + 2 \leq s \leq \bar{q}$.
 - (b) (N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) otherwise.

The metrics corresponding to the tensors ψ_f are realizable as hypersurfaces S_f in a flat space and were studied in [11]. As the Hessian $H(f)$ is the second fundamental form of S_f , the condition $H(f) > 0$ of Theorem 1.5 (1) is simply the condition that S_f is concave. By using assertions (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.5, we may perturb these metrics to construct examples of higher order Jordan Osserman manifolds which are not hypersurfaces. Therefore, Lemma 1.5 shows that there are manifolds described by Theorems 1.6 and 1.7 which are neither locally homogeneous nor of constant sectional curvature for generic $\psi \in \Psi$.

2. THE CURVATURE TENSOR OF (M, g_ψ)

If Z_1, Z_2 , and Z_3 are coordinate vector fields, then we have:

$$(2.1) \quad (\nabla_{Z_1} Z_2, Z_3) = \frac{1}{2} \{Z_1(Z_2, Z_3) + Z_2(Z_1, Z_3) - Z_3(Z_1, Z_2)\}.$$

We use equation (2.1) to see that $(\nabla_{Z_1} \partial_i^y, Z_3) = 0$ for all Z_1 and Z_3 . Since the inner product is non-degenerate, this implies that $\nabla \partial_i^y = 0$. Consequently,

$$R(Z_1, Z_2) \partial_i^y = (\nabla_{Z_1} \nabla_{Z_2} - \nabla_{Z_2} \nabla_{Z_1} - \nabla_{[Z_1, Z_2]}) \partial_i^y = 0.$$

Thus $R(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) = 0$ if $Z_3 \in \mathcal{Y}$. We use the curvature symmetries

$$\begin{aligned} R(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) &= R(Z_3, Z_4, Z_1, Z_2) \\ &= -R(Z_4, Z_3, Z_1, Z_2) = -R(Z_1, Z_2, Z_4, Z_3) \end{aligned}$$

to see that $R(Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, Z_4) = 0$ if any of the $Z_i \in \mathcal{Y}$; this proves Lemma 1.3 (1).

By equation (2.1), $(\nabla_{\partial_i^x} \partial_j^x, \partial_k^x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{ik/j} + \psi_{jk/i} - \psi_{ij/k})$ and $(\nabla_{\partial_i^x} \partial_j^x, \partial_k^y) = 0$. Consequently,

$$\nabla_{\partial_i^x} \partial_j^x = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (\psi_{ik/j} + \psi_{jk/i} - \psi_{ij/k}) \partial_k^y.$$

We complete the proof of Lemma 1.3 by computing:

$$\begin{aligned} R(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) \partial_k^x &= (\nabla_{\partial_i^x} \nabla_{\partial_j^x} - \nabla_{\partial_j^x} \nabla_{\partial_i^x}) \partial_k^x \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\partial_i^x} \{(\psi_{jl/k} + \psi_{kl/j} - \psi_{jk/l}) \partial_l^y\} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\partial_j^x} \{(\psi_{il/k} + \psi_{kl/i} - \psi_{ik/l}) \partial_l^y\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \{ \partial_i (\psi_{jl/k} + \psi_{kl/j} - \psi_{jk/l}) - \partial_j (\psi_{il/k} + \psi_{kl/i} - \psi_{ik/l}) \} \partial_l^y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi_{jl/ki} + \psi_{kl/ji} - \psi_{jk/il} - \psi_{il/jk} - \psi_{kl/ij} + \psi_{ik/jl}) \partial_l^y. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now establish the assertions of Lemma 1.5. Let $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^p)$. We then set $H_{ij} = \partial_i^x \partial_j^x f$ and $\psi_{ij} := \partial_i^x f \cdot \partial_j^x f$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x) \partial_k^x, \partial_l^x) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\psi_{jk/il} + \psi_{il/jk} - \psi_{ik/jl} - \psi_{jl/ik}) \\ &= H_{il}H_{jk} - H_{ik}H_{jl}, \quad \text{so} \\ (2.2) \quad (J(X_1)X_2, X_3) &= H(X_1, X_1)H(X_2, X_3) - H(X_1, X_2)H(X_1, X_3). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose H is positive definite. If $0 \neq X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{X_2 \in \mathcal{X} : H(X_1, X_2) = 0\}.$$

By equation (2.2), $(J(X_1)\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive definite on $\mathcal{Z}$ because

$$(J(X_1)X_2, X_3) = H(X_1, X_1)H(X_2, X_3) \quad \text{for} \quad X_2, X_3 \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Since $\text{rank}(J(X_1)) \leq p-1$, we have that $J(X_1)$ is positive semi-definite of rank $p-1$. This proves Lemma 1.5 (1). Asssertion (2) of Lemma 1.5 follows from Lemma 1.3 as

$$J_{a\psi} = aJ_\psi.$$

Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \Psi$, let $a_1 > 0$, and let $a_2 > 0$. By Lemma 1.3,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{a_1\psi_1+a_2\psi_2}(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)\partial_k^x &= a_1R_{\psi_1}(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)\partial_k^x + a_2R_{\psi_2}(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)\partial_k^x, \\ J_{a_1\psi_1+a_2\psi_2}(X_1) &= a_1J_{\psi_1}(X_1) + a_2J_{\psi_2}(X_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $J_{a_1\psi_1+a_2\psi_2}(X_1)$ is positive semi-definite of rank at least $p-1$ for $0 \neq X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\text{rank}(J_\psi(X_1)) \leq p-1$ for any $0 \neq X_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, $\text{rank}(J_{a_1\psi_1+a_2\psi_2}(X_1)) = p-1$ so $a_1\psi_1 + a_2\psi_2 \in \Psi$. This establishes Lemma 1.5 (3).

We complete the proof of Lemma 1.5 by studying small compactly supported perturbations. Previously, we have suppressed the point (x, y) of M from the notation. It is now necessary to introduce it explicitly. Thus we will denote the Jacobi operator by $J(x, y; Z)$ for $(x, y) \in M$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2p}$. By Lemma 1.3, $J(x, y; Z) = J(x; X)$ for $X = \rho_X Z$.

We must introduce an auxiliary positive definite quadratic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^p$. Let S^{p-1} be the associated unit sphere. Let $SK := K \times S^{p-1}$. Then

$$T(SK) = \{(x; X_2, X_3) \in (\mathbb{R}^p)^3 : x \in K, \langle X_2, X_2 \rangle = 1, \langle X_2, X_3 \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $\psi \in \Psi$. Then $J_\psi(x; X_2)$ defines a bilinear symmetric quadratic form $\mathcal{J}(x; X_2)$ on $T_{(x; X_2)}(SK)$; $J_\psi(x; X_2)$ is positive semi-definite of rank $p-1$ if and only if $\mathcal{J}(x; X_2)$ is positive definite. Since SK is compact and since we are considering compact perturbations, Lemma 1.5 now follows from Lemma 1.3. $\square$

3. THE HIGHER ORDER JACOBI OPERATOR OF (M, g_ψ)

By Lemma 1.3, $J(Z_1)Z_3 = R(Z_3, Z_1)Z_1 = 0$ if $Z_1 \in \mathcal{Y}$; thus $\mathcal{Y} \subset \ker(J(Z_1))$. Furthermore, $\text{range}(J(Z_2)) \subset \text{span}\{R(\partial_i^x, \partial_j^x)\partial_k^x\} \subset \mathcal{Y}$. Thus

$$(3.1) \quad J(Z_1)J(Z_2) = 0.$$

Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis for $\pi \in \text{Gr}_{r,s}(M, g_\psi)$. We then have

$$J(\pi)^2 = \sum_{i,j} (e_i, e_i)(e_j, e_j)J(e_i)J(e_j) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\text{Spec}(J(\pi)) = \{0\}$ so (M, g_ψ) is Osserman of type (r, s) and hence is k Osserman for any admissible k . This proves Theorem 1.6 (1).

Recall from equation (1.1) that $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}$ is projection on $\mathcal{X}$. We begin the proof of Theorem 1.6 (2) with a technical Lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\psi \in \Psi$, let $\pi := \text{span}\{Z_1, \dots, Z_r\}$ and let $J := J(Z_1) + \dots + J(Z_r)$.*

1. *If $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) = 0$, then $\text{rank}(J) = 0$.*
2. *If $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) = 1$, then $\text{rank}(J) = p - 1$.*
3. *If $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) > 1$, then J is positive semi-definite of rank p .*

Proof. Let $X_i = \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(Z_i)$; $J(Z_i) = J(X_i)$. Thus if $X_i = 0$, then $J(Z_i) = 0$. Suppose $X_i \neq 0$. Since $\psi \in \Psi$, we have that $\text{rank}\{J(X_i)\} = p - 1$. Because $J(X_i)X_i = 0$,

$$(3.2) \quad \ker(J(X_i)) = \mathbb{R} \cdot X_i \oplus \mathcal{Y} \quad \text{if} \quad X_i \neq 0.$$

If $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) = 0$, then $X_i = 0$ for all i and $J = 0$. Suppose $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) = 1$. We may suppose without loss of generality that $X_1 \neq 0$ and let $X_i = c_i X_1$ for $i \geq 1$. Then $J = (\sum_i c_i^2)J(X_1)$ has rank $p - 1$.

Suppose $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) \geq 2$. We suppose, without loss of generality, that $\{X_1, X_2\}$ is a linearly independent set. By Lemma 1.3, $\text{range}(J) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, we have that $\text{rank}(J) \leq \dim(\mathcal{Y}) = p$. Conversely, $J(X_i)$ is positive semidefinite as

$$(JZ, Z) = (J(X_1)Z, Z) + \dots + (J(X_r)Z, Z) \geq 0.$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if $(J(X_i)\rho_{\mathcal{X}}Z, \rho_{\mathcal{X}}Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. By equation (3.2), this means that $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}Z$ is a multiple of X_i for $i = 1, 2$. Consequently, $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}Z = 0$ so $Z \in \mathcal{Y}$. Therefore, $\text{rank}(J) = p$. $\square$

Let $\psi \in \Psi$. Let $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a spacelike subspace $\pi \in \text{Gr}_{0,r}(M, g)$. Let $X_i := \rho_{\mathcal{X}}Z_i$. Because π is spacelike, $\pi \cap \mathcal{Y} = \{0\}$. Since $\ker \rho_{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{Y}$, $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\pi) = r$. We have

$$J(\pi) = J(X_1) + \dots + J(X_r).$$

Since $J(\pi)^2 = 0$, the Jordan normal form of $J(\pi)$ is determined by the rank. We apply Lemma 3.1 to see that the rank is $p - 1$ if $r = 1$ and that the rank is p if $r > 1$. This shows (M, g_{ψ}) is Jordan Osserman of type $(0, r)$. One shows similarly that (M, g_{ψ}) is Jordan Osserman of type $(r, 0)$. Lemma 1.3 then shows that (M, g_{ψ}) is Jordan Osserman of types $(p, p - r)$ and $(p - r, p)$. Thus Theorem 1.6 (2a) is established.

To prove Theorem 1.6 (2b), it is convenient to define the elements:

$$\tilde{X}_i := \partial_i^x - \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \psi_{ij} \partial_j^y.$$

Note that $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}\tilde{X}_i = \partial_i^x$ and that $\{\tilde{X}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_p, \partial_1^y, \dots, \partial_p^y\}$ is a *hyperbolic basis*, i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad (\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j) = (\partial_i^y, \partial_j^y) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\tilde{X}_i, \partial_j^y) = \delta_{ij} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p.$$

Let $0 < r < p$ and $0 < s < p$. We must show that (M, g_{ψ}) is not Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) . By Theorem 1.1 (2), we may assume $r + s \leq p$. We assume $0 < r \leq s < p$ as the situation when $0 < s \leq r < p$ is similar. We distinguish two cases:

1) Suppose $s = 1$. Then $r = 1$. We use equation (3.3) to define the following subspaces of type $(1, 1)$ with the indicated orthonormal bases and Jacobi operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &:= \text{span}\{\tilde{X}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\partial_1^y, \tilde{X}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_1^y\}, & J(\pi_1) &= -J(\tilde{X}_1) + J(\partial_1^y), \\ \pi_2 &:= \text{span}\{\varepsilon\tilde{X}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-1}\partial_1^y, \tilde{X}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial_2^y\}, & J(\pi_2) &= -\varepsilon J(\tilde{X}_1) + J(\partial_2^y). \end{aligned}$$

As $J(\pi_1) = 0$, $\text{rank}(J(\pi_1)) = 0$. Since $\text{rank}(J(\tilde{X}_2)) = \text{rank}(J(\partial_2^x)) = p - 1$, $\text{rank}(J(\pi_2)) \geq \text{rank}(J(\tilde{X}_2)) \geq p - 1$ if ε is small. Consequently, (M, g_ψ) is not Jordan Osserman of type $(1, 1)$.

2) Suppose $1 \leq r \leq s < p$, $s \geq 2$, and $r + s \leq p$; necessarily $p \geq 3$. For $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$, we use equation (3.3) to define timelike subspaces $\pi^-(\alpha)$ and spacelike subspaces $\pi^+(\beta)$ with the indicated orthonormal bases and Jacobi operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^-(\alpha) &:= \text{span} \{ \alpha \tilde{X}_1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1} \partial_1^y, \dots, \alpha \tilde{X}_r - \frac{1}{2} \alpha^{-1} \partial_r^y \}, \\ J_\alpha^- &:= -\alpha \{ J(\partial_1^x) + \dots + J(\partial_r^x) \}, \\ \pi^+(\beta) &:= \text{span} \{ \beta \tilde{X}_{r+1} + \frac{1}{2} \beta^{-1} \partial_{r+1}^y, \dots, \beta \tilde{X}_{r+s} + \frac{1}{2} \beta^{-1} \partial_{r+s}^y \}, \\ J_\beta^+ &:= \beta \{ J(\partial_{r+1}^x) + \dots + J(\partial_{r+s}^x) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi(\alpha, \beta) := \pi^-(\alpha) \oplus \pi^+(\beta) \in Gr_{r,s}(M, g)$. The associated Jacobi operator $J(\pi(\alpha, \beta)) = J_\alpha^- + J_\beta^+$. Since $\text{range}(J) \subset \mathcal{Y}$, one sees that

$$\text{rank}(J(\pi(\alpha, \beta))) \leq p \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta.$$

We have $\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\tilde{X}_i) = \partial_i^x$. We apply Lemma 3.1; $J(\cdot)$ is supported on $\mathcal{X}$. Since $s \geq 2$, J_β^+ is positive semi-definite of rank p . Thus $\text{rank}\{J(1, \beta)\} = p$ for β large. Note that J_α^- is negative semi-definite of rank at least $p - 1$. Thus for α large, $J(\alpha, 1)$ determines a quadratic form of signature (u, v) for $u \geq p - 1$ and $u + v \leq p$. Thus by continuity, there must exist (α, β) with $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ so $J(\pi(\alpha, \beta))$ determines a degenerate quadratic form on $\mathcal{X}$. For such values of (α, β) , $\text{rank}\{J(\pi(\alpha, \beta))\} < p$. This shows that $\text{rank}\{J(\pi(\alpha) \oplus \pi(\beta))\}$ is not constant and hence (M, g_ψ) is not Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) . The proof of Theorem 1.6 is now complete. $\square$

4. THE HIGHER ORDER JACOBI OPERATOR OF (N, g_N)

Let $N := M \times \mathbb{R}^{(u,v)}$ with the product metric. Decompose $TN = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y} \oplus T\mathbb{R}^{(u,v)}$ and let $\rho_{\mathcal{X}} : TN \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be the associated projection. Then

$$J(U_1)U_2 = J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}U_1)\rho_{\mathcal{X}}U_2 \quad \text{for any } U_1, U_2 \in TN.$$

Let $\{U_\nu\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a non-degenerate subspace $\pi \in Gr_{r,s}(N)$. Let $\varepsilon_\nu := (U_\nu, U_\nu) = \pm 1$. Then $J(\pi) = \sum_\nu \varepsilon_\nu J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_\nu))$ so equation (3.1) yields:

$$J(\pi)^2 = \sum_{\mu, \nu} \varepsilon_\nu \varepsilon_\mu J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_\nu))J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_\mu)) = 0.$$

This shows that (N, g_N) is Osserman of type (r, s) for every admissible (r, s) . Therefore (N, g_N) is k Osserman for every admissible k which completes the proof of Theorem 1.7 (1).

Since $J(\pi)^2 = 0$, (N, g_N) will be Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) if and only if $\text{rank}(J(\pi))$ is constant on $Gr_{r,s}(N, g_N)$. We use this observation to prove Theorem 1.7 (2). Let $\{U_1, \dots, U_r\}$ be an orthonormal basis for a timelike subspace π in $Gr_{r,0}(N, g_N)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi) &= \text{span} \{ \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_1), \dots, \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_r) \}, \text{ and} \\ J(\pi) &= -J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_1)) - \dots - J(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(U_r)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1 implies:

$$(4.1) \quad \text{rank}(J(\pi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi) = 0, \\ p - 1 & \text{if } \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi) = 1, \\ p & \text{if } \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi) \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $u = 0$. Then $\dim(\rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi)) = r$ is independent of π and, by equation (4.1), (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman of type $(r, 0)$. Dually, by Theorem 1.1, (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman of type $(p - r, p + v)$. This proves Theorem 1.7 (2a-i).

Suppose $r \geq u + 2$ and $u > 0$. Then $\dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi) \geq r - u \geq 2$ and hence $\text{rank}(J(\pi)) = p$. Thus (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman of type $(r, 0)$ and dually, it is Jordan Osserman of type $(p - r, v + p)$. This completes the proof of assertion (2a-iii). Assertions (2a-ii) and (2a-iv) are proved similarly.

The proof of assertion (3) decomposes into several cases. Suppose $u > 0$ and $r \leq u + 1$. We must show that (N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman of type $(r, 0)$; we may then use duality to see that (N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman of type $(\bar{p} - r, \bar{q})$. Let $\{V_1^-, \dots, V_u^-\} \subset TN$ and $\{Z_1^-, \dots, Z_p^-\} \subset TM$ be orthonormal sets of timelike vectors. Let

$$\pi(a, b) := \text{span}\{Z_1^-, \dots, Z_a^-, V_1^-, \dots, V_b^-\}.$$

Suppose first that $0 < r \leq u$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi(1, r - 1)) &= 1 & \text{so} & \quad \text{rank}(J(\pi(1, r - 1))) = p - 1, \\ \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi(0, r)) &= 0 & \text{so} & \quad \text{rank}(J(\pi(0, r))) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman of type $(0, r)$. Suppose next $r = u + 1$. We then have $r \geq 2$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi(2, u - 1)) &= 2 & \text{so} & \quad \text{rank}(J(\pi(2, u - 1))) = p, \\ \dim \rho_{\mathcal{X}}(\pi(1, u)) &= 1 & \text{so} & \quad \text{rank}(J(\pi(1, u))) = p - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus (N, g_N) is not Jordan Osserman of type $(0, r)$. The case $v > 0$ and $r < v + 2$ is similar and is omitted in the interests of brevity.

Finally, suppose $1 \leq r \leq \bar{p} - 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq \bar{q} - 1$. Let

$$\{V_1^-, \dots, V_u^-, V_1^+, \dots, V_v^+\}$$

be an orthonormal basis for $\mathbb{R}^{(u, v)}$. We define maps

$$T_{a, b} \pi := \pi \oplus \text{span}\{V_1^-, \dots, V_a^-, V_1^+, \dots, V_b^+\}$$

from $\text{Gr}_{\alpha, \beta}(M, g_{\psi})$ to $\text{Gr}_{\alpha+a, \beta+b}(N, g_N)$. We then have

$$(4.2) \quad J_N(T_{a, b} \pi) = J_M(\pi) \quad \text{for all} \quad \pi \in \text{Gr}_{\alpha, \beta}(M, g_{\psi}).$$

Suppose (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) . Expand

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \alpha + a, & \text{where} & \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq p - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq a \leq u; \\ s &= \beta + b, & \text{where} & \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq p - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq b \leq v. \end{aligned}$$

If (N, g_N) is Jordan Osserman of type (r, s) , then we may use equation (4.2) to see that (M, g_{ψ}) is Jordan Osserman of type (α, β) . This contradicts Theorem 1.6 and thereby completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. $\square$

Acknowledgments: Stavrov [17] constructed algebraic curvature tensors which are Jordan Osserman for some, but not all, values of (r, s) . Our analysis in the geometric context is motivated at least in part by her analysis in the algebraic setting and it is a pleasure to acknowledge helpful conversations with her concerning these matters.

The research of P. Gilkey was partially supported by the NSF (USA) and the MPI (Leipzig); the research of R. Ivanova and T. Zhang was partially supported by the NSF (USA).

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Blažić, N. Bokan, and P. Gilkey, A note on Osserman Lorentzian manifolds, *Bulletin of the London Math Society*, **29**, (1997), 227–230.
- [2] N. Blažić, N. Bokan, P. Gilkey and Z. Rakić, Pseudo-Riemannian Osserman manifolds, *J. Balkan Soc. of Geometers*, **12**, (1997), 1–12.
- [3] A. Bonome, P. Castro, E. Garcia-Rio, Four-Dimensional Generalized Osserman Manifolds, *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, **18** (2001), 4813–4822.
- [4] Q.-S. Chi, A curvature characterization of certain locally rank-one symmetric spaces, *J. Differ. Geom.*, **28**, (1988), 187–202.
- [5] E. García-Rio, D. Kupeli, and M. Vázquez-Abal, On a problem of Osserman in Lorentzian geometry, *Diff. Geom. Appl.*, **7**, (1997), 85–100.
- [6] E. García-Río, M. E. Vázquez-Abal and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, Nonsymmetric Osserman pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**, (1998), 2771–2778.
- [7] E. García-Río, D. Kupeli, and R. Vázquez-Lorenzo, **Osserman Manifolds in Semi-Riemannian Geometry**, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, (2002), ISBN 3-540-43144-6.
- [8] P. Gilkey, Algebraic curvature tensors which are p Osserman, *Diff. Geometry and Appl.*, **14**, (2001), 297–311.
- [9] —, **Geometric Properties of Natural Operators Defined by the Riemann Curvature Tensor**, World Scientific Press (2001), ISBN 981-02-04752-4.
- [10] P. Gilkey and R. Ivanova, The Jordan normal form of higher order Osserman algebraic curvature tensors, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, **43**, (2002) 231–242.
- [11] P. Gilkey, R. Ivanova, and T. Zhang, Szabó Osserman IP Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds, preprint: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0205085>.
- [12] P. Gilkey, G. Stanilov and V. Videv, Pseudo-Riemannian manifolds whose generalized Jacobi operator has constant characteristic polynomial, *J. Geom.*, **62**, (1998), 144–153.
- [13] P. Gilkey and I. Stavrov, Curvature tensors whose Jacobi or Szabó operator is nilpotent on null vectors, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [14] Y. Nikolayevsky, Osserman Conjecture in dimension $n \neq 16$, preprint: <http://arXiv.org/abs/math.DG/0204258>.
- [15] R. Osserman, Curvature in the eighties, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **97**, (1990), 731–756.
- [16] G. Stanilov and V. Videv, Four dimensional pointwise Osserman manifolds, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **68**, (1998), 1–6.
- [17] I. Stavrov, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Oregon (2003).

PG: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OR 97403 USA.
 EMAIL: GILKEY@DARKWING.UOREGON.EDU

RI: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII - HILO, 200 W. KAWILI ST.,
 HILO HI 96720 USA. EMAIL: RIVANOVA@HAWAII.EDU

TZ: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, MURRAY STATE UNIVERSITY, MURRAY
 KY 42071 USA EMAIL: TAN.ZHANG@MURRAYSTATE.EDU